

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       |                       | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET  | NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| 09/530,719            | 09/530,719 05/04/2000 |                  | TADASHI YAMAURA      | 2565-198P        | 3186                 |  |  |
| 2292 7590 09/20/2004  |                       |                  |                      |                  | EXAMINER             |  |  |
|                       |                       | ART KOLASCH &    | A                    | AZAD, ABUL K     |                      |  |  |
| PO BOX 74<br>FALLS CH |                       | H, VA 22040-0747 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |                      |  |  |
|                       |                       |                  |                      | 2654             | 73                   |  |  |
|                       |                       |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/ |                      |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - <u></u>  |   | Application   | on No.  | Applicant(s)   |        |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 09/530,7  |   | YAMAURA, TADASHI   |        |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  |   | Art Unit   |        |  |  |  |
|  | ·   | ABUL K.   |   | 2654   |        |  |  |  |
| 1  | The MAILING DATE of this commun   |   |   |  | Idress |  |  |  |
| Period for F   |   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI is of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm od for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 iod for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>lication to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this co |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Re   | esponsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <u>19 July 2004</u> .   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| -  | •   | 2b)☐ This action is n   | on-final.   |  |        |  |  |  |
| ·=   |   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| clo  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition  | of Claims   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 4a)<br>5)□ Cl<br>6)⊠ Cl<br>7)□ Cl  | aim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict  | re withdrawn from co  |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| Application  | Papers  |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 9) <u></u> The   | e specification is objected to by the   | e Examiner.   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| 10)∐ Th  | e drawing(s) filed on is/are:   | a) accepted or b)   | objected to by the  | Examiner.  |        |  |  |  |
| -  | plicant may not request that any object   |   | •   |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | placement drawing sheet(s) including<br>e oath or declaration is objected to  | •   |   | -  |        |  |  |  |
| Priority und   | er 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
| a)   | knowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio  the attached detailed Office actio  | documents have bee<br>documents have bee<br>of the priority docume<br>nal Bureau (PCT Rul   | n received.<br>n received in Applicat<br>ents have been receive<br>e 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National  | Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |   |  |        |  |  |  |
|  | References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Informati   | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date 31.  |   | Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:   |  | )-152) |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on July 19, 2004.
- 2. Claims 19-22 are pending in this action.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-22 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nomura et al. (C 2,112,145).

As per claim 19, Nomura teaches, "a speech decoding apparatus according to code-excited linear prediction, wherein the speech decoding apparatus receives a coded speech including a gain code and synthesizes a speech" (Page 5, lines 11-13), the speech decoding apparatus comprising:

"a gain decoder for receiving the gain code and for decoding a gain of a speech in a concerning decoding period based on the gain code input" (Page 6, lines 10-23 and Fig. 1, element 140, speech decoder );

Art Unit: 2654

"a noise level evaluator for evaluating a noise level of the speech in concerning decoding period by using the gain decoded by the gain decoder" (Fig. 1, element 110, error detection unit and Page 9, line 18 to Page 10, line 28);

"an excitation codebook storing time series vectors" (Page 9, lines 18-27, excitation code book and excitation code vector)

"a noise level controller for changing a noise level of time series vectors output from an excitation codebook based on an evaluation result of the noise level evaluator" (Page 9, lines 18-27).

As per claim 21, it is interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set for in the rejection of claim 19.

As per claim 20, Nomura teaches, "a speech decoding apparatus according to code-excited linear prediction, wherein the speech decoding apparatus receives a coded speech including a linear prediction parameter code, an adaptive code, an excitation code, and a gain code and synthesizes a speech" (Page 5, lines 11-28), the speech decoding apparatus comprising:

- -an adaptive codebook (Fig. 7, element 740);
- -an excitation codebook (Fig. 7, element 750);
- -a gain decoder (Fig. 1, element 140)
- -a noise level evaluator (Fig. 1, element 110)
- -a noise level controller (Fig. 1, elements 150 and 160)
- -a weighting-adder (Fig. 3, element 330 and 340)
- -a linear predictive parameter decoder (Fig. 5, element 560)

Art Unit: 2654

-a synthesis filter (Fig. 5, element 570).

As per claim 22, it is interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 20.

### Response to Arguments

6. The applicant argues that Nomura's voiced/unvoiced judging unit does not use decoded gain.

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion because Nomura teaches clearly voiced/unvoiced judging unit does uses decoding gain, for example see page 6, lines 11-23, particularly reads on "gains of the adaptive and excitation codebooks and amplitude of the speech signal, and outputs the result of decoding to a voiced/unvoiced frame judging unit 170", here comparison is made based on the decoded gain of current frame with decoded gain of non-error previous frame.

7. The applicant further argues, "there is no disclosure in Nomura that either of these bad frame masking units 150 and 160 actually evaluates a noise level. Also, there is no disclosure that the bad frame masking units 150 and 160 use a decoded gain for the current frame or decoding period".

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's above assertion because Nomura teaches a noise error detection unit evaluates the noise level based on the decoded gain of the current frame and input to the frame masking units 150 and 160 see for example Fig. 1, elements 110, 150 and 160; and Fig. 7, also equation 4.

Art Unit: 2654

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul K. Azad whose telephone number is (703) 305-3838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (703) 305-9645.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450** 

Art Unit: 2654

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office at telephone number

(703) 306-0377.

Abul K. Azad

September 13, 2004